

## CEOURSET2024 CEOURSET2024 CONFERENCE

### **GEOMECHANICAL DATA ACQUISITION IN SCAN WELLS** Parameters and constraints for future geothermal development in The Netherlands

Adriaan Janszen, Marc Hettema, Milan Brussée, Marten ter Borgh & Henk van Lochem – EBN BV

## **Geothermal Energy in the Netherlands**

- Proven source of energy; 27 producing projects in 2023 (39 doublets)
- Low enthalpy, saline aquifers; direct use => heat for heat
- Between about 700 m and 3 km depth => 30-100 °C
- 6.8 PJ of heat generated (equivalent to demand of 165.000 households)
- Geothermal development focused on regions where abundant subsurface data exists from O&G





Sources: Geothermie Nederland Production Numbers 2023 & NLOG

## **Introduction to SCAN**

- → SCAN stands for Seismische Campagne Aardwarmte Nederland
- →SCAN acquires new data in areas where insufficient subsurface data is presently available for a reliable estimation of geothermal potential ('white spots')
- →Aimed at shallow and deep geothermal (500-4000m)
- → Provides a regional exploration dataset
- →Funded by the Ministry of Climate and Green Growth, executed by EBN and TNO



Exploration licence (under application)

Exploration licence

## **Components SCAN-program**

- Acquisition 1900km new and re-processing 7500km old 2D seismic data
  - ✓ Completed
- Drilling data-acquisition wells
  - First two wells (Amstelland-01 & Oranjeoord-01) finalised and decommissioned, third well currently drilling (Heesch-01)
  - In each well, extensive data acquisition on geothermal reservoirs, caprocks and overburden
  - Significant amount of geomechanical data acquired

All data and results are published via <u>scanaardwarmte.nl</u> and <u>nlog.nl/scan</u>



# Importance of geomechanical data for geothermal projects

→Project Construction
 →Well planning (trajectory, casing points, etc)
 →Wellbore stability

- Operational window during production/injection
  - →Sand production
  - →Caprock integrity
  - →Injection pressure/rate
  - →Seismicity potential

#### Impact on project economics, safety and public perception and acceptance

## Induced seismicity associated with geothermal production in NL

- →Public awareness and concern related to induced seismicity associated with subsurface activities following events at Groningen gas field
- →Induced seismicity observed at Californië project where geothermal water was produced from a large fault zone, no seismicity observed at other projects
- →Traffic Light System used in case induced seismicity occurs during geothermal production
- →Strict regulatory requirements for geothermal operators to assess risk of induced seismicity and caprock integrity prior to operations start

Overview of seismicity in NL (01-01-2021) Muntendam-Bos et al., 2022



### Seismic Hazard Risk Analysis and Caprock Integrity

- → 2013: Injection Protocol for geothermal projects between 1500-3000m depth
- → 2023: Seismic Hazard Risk Analysis (SDRA) and Tensile failure Assessment of Seal (TAS) methodologies to evaluate seismicity and seal integrity risk of geothermal projects (Mijnlieff et al., 2023):
- Calculated using the Seal and Reservoir Integrity through Mechanical Analysis (SRIMA) tool – stochastic, semi-analytical tool to determine failure probabilities

#### → Requirement of detailed knowledge of geomechanical parameters!





maximum magnitude (last time step) - Top 10



#### Example SRIMA output, Mijnlieff et al., 2023 7

## Geomechanical Data from a typical SCAN borehole: Amstelland-01

## **Objectives of the Amstelland well**

- → Determine geothermal reservoir properties for three target intervals:
  - ➔ Primary: Permian Rotliegend sandstones
  - → Secondary: L. Cret. Vlieland Sandstone Fm
  - → Secondary: U. Cret. Chalk Gp (CK)
- Determine reservoir formation fluid properties, pressure and temperature
- Determine geomechanical properties of the reservoirs, caprock and overburden
- →AMS-01 spud in October 2023, TD @ 2217.67m MD in Carboniferous Limburg Group
- Extensive data acquisition performed throughout well, including over reservoirs, caprocks and overburden

#### Boring AMSTELLAND-01

 Identificatie:
 AMS-01

 Locatie:
 52.30751583, 4.92379283 (WGS84)

 Aangeleverde locatie:
 123395.295, 480050.996 (RD)





## Data published on NLOG.nl

Well

#### Well AMSTELLAND-01

 Identification:
 AMS-01

 Location:
 52.30751583, 4.92379283 (WGS84)

 Delivered location:
 123395.295, 480050.996 (RD)



Basic data	Deviation	Documents	Lithostratigraphy	Samples	Core analyses	Production figures	Logs LIS/LAS				
Well AMSTELLAND-01											
Category			Document								
Borehole/Well - Final rapport			SODM EOWR(08 Feb 2024)								
Documents containing borehole logs			12.25in_LWD_Run200_RM_MD(665-1395)(08 Nov 2023) 12.25in_LWD_Run300_RM_MD(1365-1803)(08 Nov 2023) 12.25in_Run1.1.1_AST_ANISOTROPY(700-1790)(14 Nov 2023) 12.25in_Run1.1.1_AST_SEMBLANCE(31-1790)(14 Nov 2023) 12.25in_Run1.2.1_CSNG(30-1798)(10 Nov 2023) 12.25in_Run1.2.1_DSN_SDLT(30-1803)(10 Nov 2023) 17.5in_LWD_Run100_RM_MD(25-690)(24 Oct 2023) 8.5in_LWD_Run400_RM_MD(1755-2077)(22 Nov 2023) 8.5in_LWD_Run500_RM_MD(2045-2227)(22 Nov 2023) 8.5in_Run2.1.1_AST_ANISOTROPY(1801-2212)(24 Nov 2023) 8.5in_Run2.1.1_AST_SEMBLANCE(1741-2210)(24 Nov 2023) 8.5in_Run2.1.1_CAST_Borehole_Shape(1801-2222)(24 Nov 2023) 8.5in_Run2.1.1_CAST_Manual Dip Analysis_Listing(15 Dec 2023) 8.5in_Run2.1.1_CAST_Manual Dip_Analysis(1801-2222)(24 Nov 2023)								

Link to this page: https://www.nlog.nl/nlog-mapviewer/brh/3894840289?lang=en

Overview of status of deliverables at https://scanaardwarmte.nl/onderzoek-in-amstelland/

#### www.scanaardwarmte.nl

×



→Cuttings

#### →LWD and wireline log data

→ (S)GR,RES,XSON,DEN,NEUT,IMAGE,NMR

→ Temperature

→VSP

→Production/Injection test

→Core (193m)

- → Routine core analysis, SCAL, core description
- → Specific geomechanical tests

→Extended Leak-Off Tests (XLOTs) (3x)



## Extended Leak-Off Test (XLOT)

→Determine magnitude minimum horizontal stress

- →SCAN performs XLOTs through a 1.5m perforated interval before well decommissioning
- →Three potential geothermal caprocks tested
- →For each interval determine:
  - →Formation breakdown pressure (FBP)
  - →Fracture propagation pressure (FPP)
  - →Instantaneous shut-in pressure (ISIP)
  - →Fracture closure pressure (FCP)
  - →Fracture re-opening pressure (FRP)



## **Geomechanical Results: Amstelland-01**



## **Borehole break-outs and drilling induced fractures**

- Borehole break-outs and drilling induced fractures can be used to determine stress-field orientation
- Appears to be a rotation of horizontal stress between with Vlieland Claystone and Zechstein Group
- Shmax NW-SE (127° +/- 3°) in shallow section, consistent with published regional orientation
- Shmax N-S (179° +/- 3°) in deep section





UK Quad 15 Central North Sea, Yale (2003)

**Breakouts in Vlieland Claystone** (1070mMDRT)

**Tensile fractures in Slochteren and** breakouts in Limburg (2160mMDRT)



#### www.scanaardwarmte.nl

### **Vertical-Stress Magnitude**

- →Density log acquired from 700m depth to TD
- →In majority top hole high quality sonic data acquired: converted to density using Gardner's equation
- Exponential function used above this depth
- →Relatively high vertical stress gradient compared with other geological domains (Buijze et al., 2024)

500

150

2000

15



#### Modified from Buijze et al., 2024

## **Minimum-Horizontal Stress Magnitude**

- Minimum-horizontal stress derived from 3 XLOTs at three elevations
- →Continuous Shmin calculated using Eaton's equation with Poisson's Ratio from X-dipole sonic (Andrews and de Lesquen; Hettema, 2022)
- →Good match for XLOT 2 and 3
- →Underestimation of XLOT 1
- →Underestimate of pore pressure or are there additional tectonic stresses in deep part of the well?







## **Maximum-Horizontal Stress**

→Maximum-Horizontal Stress magnitude can be derived from the borehole breakout angle (Barton et al., 1988)

 $\Rightarrow S_{Hmax} = \frac{C_0 + \Delta P_W + 2P_P}{(1 - 2\cos 2\theta)} - S_{hmin} \frac{(1 + 2\cos 2\theta)}{(1 - 2\cos 2\theta)}$ 

→Ratio max/min horizontal stress in the shallow section is 1, in the deeper section this increases to approx. 1.3
360°







## **Core laboratory testing**

- →Measurements on core of reservoirs and caprocks
- →Scratch test to determine continuous record of the Unconfined Compressional Strength (UCS)
- →Strain-controlled tri-axial tests to determine elastic parameters, strength and post-failure slip
- →Linear thermal expansion coefficient measured

#### Main Claystone Fm - Caprock Rotliegend Gp - Reservoir









## **Additional Geomechanical Parameters**



# Implications for geothermal projects

- SCAN project provides geomechanical parameters and insight in areas with little previous data and high heat demand
- →Allows use of local parameters, rather than generic conservative estimates
- →Provides input to induced seismicity and caprock integrity workflows required for geothermal permits



#### Other SCAN contributions at EAGE GET2024

	14:30-14:50	Johannes Rehling	Look-back on 5 years of SCAN 2D seismic acquisition and re-processing
5 November	17:00-17:20	Milan Brussée	Exploring the shallow: results of data a data acquisition well in the Dutch Cenozoic succession
6 November	11:30-11:50	Pieter Bruijnen	Impact of lift methods and shutin techniques on welltest analysis in geothermal wells
7 November	15:50-16:10	Marten ter Borgh	Geothermal exploration in the Netherlands: the SCAN program
8 November	9:00-15:00	PanTerra Geoconsultants	CCS and geothermal at its core: geological risk assessment for geological risk assessment for geothermal and CCS on core material

